

AY W. ABERNATHY Mayor

CHARLES F. HELSEL, JR. City Administrator

March 14, 1989

Dr. Max Lennon
President
Clemson University
President's Home
Clemson, South Carolina 29634-5000

Dear Max:

I trust things are well with you and Ruth, and I look forward to seeing you soon. With your permission, I would very much like to assign to the Joint University/City Committee, the task of studying the University's possible annexation into the City. As I've previously stated I do not see this so much as an annexation, but as a "friendly merger."

Obviously there are many details to work out, but I feel we can accomplish this to the mutual benefit of both entities. If we can work it out by April of 1990, we can then benefit from the upcoming census, thus insuring a significant payoff in dollars and services.

In the City's recent Land Use Survey, 88% of <u>our</u> constituents spoke in favor of the consolidation of City and University services. This tells me a great deal about what our people desire. The precedent is established, and we can only go forward in further improving the quality of life of the "Greater Clemson" community.

I truly believe that the Joint Committee is the body to initiate this section, as they have certainly served us well in many different areas. With their insight and expertise, I am confident that they can derive a plan where nobody sacrifices, but only gains.

I look forward to your response in this matter, as we both continue our quest to make this community an exemplary "town-gown" partnership. Thanks for your continuing support and service, and give my best to your lovely wife.

Dr. Lennon March 14, 1989 Page 2

With ongoing feelings of partnership and teamwork, I am,

the

The second of th 

port of the control o and the state of t

No. Carlo Carlo

Sincerely,

Larry W. Abernathy Mayor

LWA/nh

Catherine Smith

City Council

Gen. Hugh Clausen

聖皇帝,中国中国自己的,是李明是一种自己的,是自己的,但是一个一个的意

· A CONTROL OF A C

to the second se



CLEMSON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29633 803/653-2030 or 656-3413

June 12, 1989

Ms. Donna Robinson, City Executive South Carolina National Bank PO Box 832 Clemson, S.C. 29633

Re: Joint City/University Dinner - Land Use

Report

Dear Donna,

As you requested, Gary Campbell and I have combined our table conversations regarding Land Use/Planning Issues into the following written report.

The most important point that was made by both tables was that COMMUNICATION between the two (2) entities was necessary and has been a problem in the past. One particular comment was the annexation efforts of the City which included University lands (long-range plan) without contacting the University. Also, that the City/University had appeared to be dealing with the Highway Department separately with agendas that conflicted and continued to stop support from the Highway Department for both entities!!

The comment was made that the University had a vast amount of land and that there was a public perception that this land could be developed without restrictions. It was noted that this land has federal restrictions and that the University was the steward of the land. We concluded that the City and University could work together to develop this land for recreation purposes to benefit the entire community. Also, the University is looking at ways to develop this land through an internal assessment.

Another conclusion was that the City should present its longrange master plan for growth to the University's Land Use Committee and vice-versa so that there would be no surprises and each could respond to the plans.

Beautification was discussed at both tables with the conclusion being that the City should review the "Campus Spine" and coordinate their beautification efforts in

Letter to Donna Robinson June 12, 1989 P. 2

downtown as well as other parts of the City. It was evident that both tables had a concern that the City not allow Highway 93 to turn into another Highway 123 and that design control would be very important.

We concluded our discussion with a major impact issue, that of housing. Citizen comments regarding the need for the City to provide housing for the University, was the City adequately providing safe housing and the fact that even if housing were developed on campus, that the City would still be impacted and have to provide for the new students without receiving any revenue. A conclusion was drawn that the City and the University were communicating well in this area and that we work together to look at shuttle services, public transportation, bicycle paths and security for students.

In summation, many areas of future needs were discussed with some interesting conclusions. As stated in the opening paragraph, we MUST communicate regarding land use and planning and forget that we have boundaries which divide, and think of the community as a whole.

If you need further information from Gary or me please do not hesitate to let me know.

Sincerely yours,

MARY FINLEY POORE, Discussion Leader Joint City/University Advisory Committee

MFP:ww

cc: Gary Campbell